Bruno de Freitas Camilo Department of Body and Human Movement State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil brunodefreitascamilo@yahoo.com.br Paulo Ricardo Prado Nunes Department of Body and Human Movement State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil paulo.pradonunes@gmail.com Gabriela Baranowski-Pinto Department of Body and Human Movement State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil gabrielabaranowski@gmail.com **Gislaine Cristina-Souza** Department of Body and Human Movement State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil gicsouzaufla@gmail.com Camila Rosa de Oliveira Department of Education State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil oliveira.crd@gmail.com **Brandel José Pacheco Lopes Filho** Department of Body and Human Movement State University of Minas Gerais, Passos, Brazil brandelfilho@gmail.com

> Received: 22 junio 2023 Revised: 27 junio 2023 Evaluator 1 report: 20 julio 2023 Evaluator 2 report: 23 agosto 2023 Accepted: 02 septiembre 2023 Published: noviembre 2023

ABSTRACT

In recent decades, sedentary behavior (SB) has come to be understood as an important public health problem. The confinement imposed by the COVID-19 has modified people's lifestyle, including increased time in SB, which is a risk factor for several health problems. In this context, the aim of this study was to analyze the association between sociodemographic, behavioral and health conditions factors with SB in adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. This is an observational and cross-sectional study that analyzed 510 Brazilians aged \geq 18 years. Participants answered an online questionnaire containing sociodemographic, behavioral and health status information. Individuals with high SB had a lower level of physical activity (PA), higher scores of anxiety, depression

and stress, perception of poor health and health problems. In Logistic Regression, model 1 showed that being insufficiently active (OR 1.96; 95% CI: 1.31 - 2.93), having poor health perception (OR 1.67; 95% CI: 1.09 - 2.57) and having a health problem (OR 1.79; 95% CI: 1.19 - 2.68) was associated with high SB. However, for model 2, only insufficient levels of PA (OR 1.78; 95% CI: 1.15 - 2.75) and health problem (OR 1.83; 95% CI: 1.10 - 3.05) remained associated with SB. These findings highlight the importance of staying physically active and reducing SB as a strategy for health promotion.

Keywords: lifestyle; sociodemographic factors; health; sitting time; confinement

RESUMEN

Factores asociados a la conducta sedentaria en adultos durante la pandemia de COVID-19. En las últimas décadas, el conducta sedentaria (CS) ha llegado a ser entendido como un importante problema de salud pública. El confinamiento impuesto por el COVID-19 ha modificado el estilo de vida de las personas, incluido el aumento del tiempo en CS, que es un factor de riesgo para varios problemas de salud. En este contexto, el objetivo de este estudio fue analizar la asociación entre factores sociodemográficos, conductuales y de condiciones de salud con CS en adultos durante la pandemia de COVID-19. Se trata de un estudio observacional y transversal que analizó a 510 brasileños de \geq 18 años. Los participantes respondieron un cuestionario en línea que contenía información sociodemográfica, conductual y de estado de salud. Los individuos con SB alto tenían un menor nivel de actividad física (AF), puntuaciones más altas de ansiedad, depresión y estrés, percepción de mala salud y problemas de salud. En Regresión Logística, el modelo 1 mostró que ser insuficientemente activo (OR 1,96; IC 95%: 1,31 – 2,93), tener mala percepción de salud (OR 1,67; IC 95%: 1,09 - 2,57) y tener un problema de salud (OR 1,79; IC 95%: 1,19 - 2,68) se asoció con CS alto. Sin embargo, para el modelo 2, solo los niveles insuficientes de AF (OR 1,78; IC 95%: 1,15 – 2,75) y problemas de salud (OR 1,83; IC 95%: 1,10 - 3,05) permanecieron asociados con CS. Estos hallazgos resaltan la importancia de mantenerse físicamente activo y reducir el CS como una estrategia para la promoción de la salud.

Palabras clave: estilo de vida; factores sociodemográficos; salud; tiempo sentado; encierro

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, sedentary behavior (SB) has come to be understood as an important public health problem. The World Health Organization (WHO) characterizes the SB for activities performed in sitting, lying, or reclining positions, which do not increase energy expenditure beyond resting levels (\leq 1.5 METS), including screen time, leisure or spent on work activities (Brasil, 2021; WHO, 2020). Prolonged time in SB can be harmful to health (Semanik et al., 2015), since it increases the chances of developing cardiovascular problems (Bell et al., 2023), diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemias, hypertension, and some types of cancer (Park et al., 2020). Social restrictions influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic impacted people's behavior changes (Condessa, Anastácio & Antão, 2021). During the pandemic, people were motivated to stay longer at home, resulting in a reduction in the time directed to physical activity (PA) and, consequently, in the increase in SB (Condessa, Anastácio & Antão, 2022; Zieff et al., 2021). In addition, the need to maintain social isolation also influenced the increase in alcohol and tobacco consumption (Schäfer et al., 2022; Stanton et al., 2020), in the development of problems related to mental health (Salari et al., 2020) and in sociodemographic aspects (Trindade & Sarti, 2021) that could relate to SB.

Although there are some studies that have analysed the relationship between lifestyle, health and social and demographic aspects with SB in the Brazilian context (Trindade & Sarti, 2021; Martins et al., 2020), it is not known of any investigation that performed a broad analysis of factors that could be related to SB during the social isolation caused by the pandemic. In addition, other viruses may emerge in the near future and trigger new pandemic contexts. Thus, the understanding of social isolation and its influence on SB would enable the development of intervention measures that would mitigate the effects of adverse behaviours on health. In this context, the aim of this study was to analyse which sociodemographic, behavioural and health conditions are associated with SB in adults during the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

Study design and ethical aspects

This is an observational, cross-sectional study, an integral part of the research entitled "PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND COVID-19: Research on Aspects of Health, Leisure, Quality of Life and Professional Activity". This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of the State of Minas Gerais, according to protocol No. 4,131,677, and complies with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council (Brasil, 2012).

Sample

The sample consisted of individuals living in Brazil who were 18 years of age or older. The sample calculation was performed using WINPEP software version 11.26 (Abranson, 2004), considering the 95% confidence interval (CI), 5% amplitude and 10% frequency. A 20% loss was also added, culminating in a final sample of 986 participants.

Participants were recruited by e-mail, telephone contact and/or social networks and had access to the research link, from which the Free and Informed Consent Form was presented for reading and agreement/refusal. The doubts related to the completion of the questionnaire were answered by the researcher responsible through telephone contact. Participants who presented difficulty in reading and/or writing or who did not complete the electronic form (questionnaire) were excluded from the sample.

Data collection

Due to the emergency measures of social restriction imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the present investigation was carried out by completing an online form. The questionnaire was adapted for individual self-application, through an online platform, and consisted of sociodemographic, behavioural and health conditions. Participants used electronic devices (mobile, tablet or computer). Data were collected between September and December 2020. Given the need to maintain social distancing, there was no restriction of environment for the completion of the questionnaire. The variables analysed were:

Sociodemographic variables

The sociodemographic variables were age, in years, and by age group (< 39 years; \geq 39 years), gender (male; female), education (\leq 15 years; > 15 years), marital status (married/stable union; single/divorced/separated/widowed), family arrangement (lives alone; lives with others) and monthly family income (\leq 3 minimum wages; > 3 minimum wages).

Behavioural variables

PA was evaluated using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), short version (Matsudo et al., 2001). The level of physical activity (LPA) was determined by the sum of vigorous PA time, multiplied by two, with moderate PA time (Armstrong et al., 2000). Total PA was presented in minutes/week. Participants were classified as insufficiently active (< 150 minutes/week) and sufficiently active (\geq 150 minutes/week) (WHO, 2020).

SB was evaluated using the IPAQ seated time domain (Matsudo et al., 2001). The total time in SB was determined by the weighted average of the time sitting on a weekday, multiplied by five, added to the sitting time of a weekend day, multiplied by two, dividing the total by seven. The total SB was presented in minutes/day. The participants were classified as low SB (< P75) and high SB (\geq P75), P75 \geq 600 minutes/day.

Regarding alcohol consumption and smoking, the participants answered the questions: "Do you consume alcohol?" and "Do you smoke cigarettes?". For both questions, the answer was categorized as "Yes" and "No".

Health condition variables

Regarding health perception, participants answered the question "How do you see your health TODAY?" The answer was categorized as "Poor" or "Good". For the evaluation of health problems, participants were presented with a list containing several diseases/conditions that have been diagnosed by a physician. The participant should answer "No" if they did not present any health problem or "Yes", if they presented one or more health problems. Regarding the use of medications, the participant was asked: "Do you currently use medication?" (Yes or No).

The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale – 21 Items (DAAS-21) questionnaire has been applied to track symptoms of anxiety, depression, and stress in recent days. The questionnaire contains 21 questions subdivided into three factors: anxiety (questions 2, 4, 7, 9, 15, 19, 20); depression (questions 3, 5, 10, 13, 16, 17, 21); and stress (1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 18). The response scale is a Likert type of four points, ranging from 0 (not applied in any way) to 3 (applied a lot or most of the time). In this study, the cut-off points adopted were adapted from Vignola and Tucci (2014) and participants were classified as: minimum symptoms of anxiety (0 to 7 points) or moderate to severe (\geq 8 points); minimal symptoms of depression (0 to 9 points) or moderate to severe (\geq 10 points).

Body mass (kg) and height (cm) were self-reported by the participants. Body mass index (BMI) calculated using the formula BMI = body mass / (height)² (WHO, 2020). The participants were classified as obese, when the BMI was \geq 30.0 kg/m² and non-obese, when the BMI was < 30.0 kg/m² (WHO, 2000).

Data analysis

The data were tabulated in Excel software. Statistical analysis was performed using Medcalc software (version 11.1.1.0). The distribution of descriptive data was performed by the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. The continuous variables were compared between the groups (low SB and high SB) by the Mann-Whitney independent sample test (nonparametric data). Categorical variables were presented in absolute and relative frequency and compared by the Chi square test. To verify the association between the variables (categorical), Logistic Regression was used in isolation (model 1 - each variable was adjusted for age) and joint (model 2 - all variables were inserted in the model). The regression results were presented in odds ratio (OR) considering the 95% CI.

RESULTS

Table 1 represents the general characterization of the participants. The sample consisted of 510 participants. When analysing the continuous variables, it was possible to notice that the group with high time in SB presented lower LPA, high time spent in sedentary activities and higher scores of anxiety, depression and stress when compared to the group with low SB. Regarding categorical variables, it was observed that the group with high SB had poor health perception and health problem.

Continuous variables Median (C1 95%) Median (C1 95%) p-value (C1 95%) Sociodemographic variable 30 29 0.115 Age (years) 30 29 0.115 Behavioural variables (29 – 32) (27 – 31) 0 PA (minutes/week) 300 180 < 0.001 (260 – 360) (103.16 – 251.83) 0 0 SB (minutes/day) 360 720 < 0.001 (343.15 – 385.71) (685.71 – 723.14) 0 0 Variables of health conditions 0 0.100 0 0 Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 0 0 (4 – 4) (4 – 8) 0 0.010 0 0.044 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Low SB $(n = 375)$</th><th>High SB (n = 135)</th><th></th></t<>		Low SB $(n = 375)$	High SB (n = 135)			
Median (C1 95%)Median (C1 95%)p-value (C1 95%)Sociodemographic variableAge (years)30290.115 $(29 - 32)$ $(27 - 31)$ $(27 - 31)$ $(29 - 32)$ $(27 - 31)$ Behavioural variablesPA (minutes/week)300180<0.001 $(260 - 360)$ $(103.16 - 251.83)$ (20.011) $(343.15 - 385.71)$ $(685.71 - 723.14)$ Variables of health conditionsBMI (kg/m ²)24.9624.690.300(24.51 - 25.5) $(23.51 - 25.43)$ Symptoms of anxiety460.010 $(4 - 4)$ $(4 - 8)$ $(6 - 10)$ $(12 - 14)$ Symptoms of depression680.032Symptoms of stress14140.044 $(12 - 14)$ $(12 - 18)$ $(12 - 18)$ Categorical variablesSociodemographic variablesGender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 (114) (31) (114) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (114) (23) (110) (324) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.84 (292) (113) (292) (113) Sociodemographic variablesGender (female) $(\leq 5.86$ 62.22 0.512 (247) (84) $(29,6)$ $(21,6)$ $(21,6)$ <td <="" colspan="2" th=""><th>Continuous variables</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td>	<th>Continuous variables</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		Continuous variables			
Sociodemographic variable Age (years) 30 (29 - 32) 29 (27 - 31) Behavioural variables $(29 - 32)$ $(27 - 31)$ PA (minutes/week) 300 (260 - 360) 180 < 0.001 (316 - 251.83) SB (minutes/day) 360 360 720 (24.51 - 25.5) $(23.51 - 25.43)$ Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 (34.315 - 385.71) Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 Symptoms of atriety 4 6 0.010 Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 Categorical variables % % % Categorical variables % % % Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Grader (female) 20.4 84 14 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Grader (female) (141) (43) 6 0.216 Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216		Median (CI 95%)	Median (CI 95%)	p-value		
Age (years) 30 29 0.115 (29-32) (27-31) (27-31) Behavioural variables 300 180 < 0.001 PA (minutes/week) 300 180 < 0.001	Sociodemographic variable					
(29 - 32) (27 - 31) Behavioural variables PA (minutes/week) 300 180 < 0.001	Age (years)	30	29	0.115		
Behavioural variables PA (minutes/week) 300 180 < 0.001		(29 - 32)	(27 - 31)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Behavioural variables					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	PA (minutes/week)	300	180	< 0.001		
SB (minutes/day) (343.15 − 385.71) Variables of health conditions BMI (kg/m^2) 24.96 (24.51 − 25.5) (23.51 − 25.43) Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 8 Symptoms of depression 6 8 (4 − 4) (4 − 4) (4 − 8) (6 − 10) Symptoms of stress 14 (12 − 14) Categorical variables 6 Sociodemographic variables Gender (female) 55.86 6 62.22 (12 − 14) (12 − 18) Categorical variables 6 Seciodemographic variables 7 Seciodemographic variables 6 Seciodemographic variables 7 Seciodemographic variables 8 Alcohol consumption (yes) 7 Seciodemographic variables 7 Seciodemographic variables 8 Alcohol consumption (yes) 7 Seciodemographic variables 7 Seciodemographic variables 7 Seciodemographic variables 8 Seciodemographic variables Seciodemographic variables Seciodemographic variables Seciodemographic variables Seciodemographic variables Seciodemographic variable		(260 - 360)	(103.16 – 251.83)			
(343.15 - 385.71) (685.71 - 723.14) Variables of health conditions 9 BMI (kg/m ²) 24.96 24.69 0.380 (24.51 - 25.5) (23.51 - 25.43) 0 Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 (4 - 4) (4 - 8) (6 - 10) 0 Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 (4 - 8) (6 - 10) 0 0 Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 (12 - 14) (12 - 18) 0 0 Categorical variables % % % Gender (female) (5.86 62.22 0.512 Gender (female) (247) (84) 0 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (141) (43) (143) 14 0 Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (324) 0 0 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum wages) (258) (91) 0 Behavioural variables 7	SB (minutes/day)	360	720	< 0.001		
Variables of health conditions BMI (kg/m ²) 24.96 24.69 0.380 $(24.51 - 25.5)$ $(23.51 - 25.43)$ Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 $(4-4)$ $(4-8)$ 0.032 Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 $(4-8)$ $(6-10)$ 24.69 0.044 Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 $(12-18)$ 0.044 Categorical variables $(12-14)$ $(12-18)$ 0.044 Categorical variables $\sqrt[6]{Wmber of Cases}$ 0.010 Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Gramity arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) 113		(343.15 - 385.71)	(685.71 - 723.14)			
BMI (kg/m ²) 24.96 24.69 0.380 (24.51 - 25.5) (23.51 - 25.43) Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 (4 - 4) (4 - 8) Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 (4 - 8) (6 - 10) Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 (12 - 14) (12 - 18) Categorical variables Categorical variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 (247) (84) Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables Alcohol consumption (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) Variables of health conditions Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	Variables of health conditions					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BMI (kg/m ²)	24.96	24.69	0.380		
Symptoms of anxiety 4 6 0.010 Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 Symptoms of depression (4 – 8) (6 – 10) Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 (12 – 14) (12 – 18) Categorical variables Categorical variables % % (Number of Cases) (Number of Cases) Sociodemographic variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (141) (43) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (410) (31) (110) (324) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (113) (116) (202) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (116) (202) <t< td=""><td></td><td>(24.51 - 25.5)</td><td>(23.51 – 25.43)</td><td></td></t<>		(24.51 - 25.5)	(23.51 – 25.43)			
$(4-4)$ $(4-8)$ Symptoms of depression680.032Symptoms of stress14140.044 $(12-14)$ $(12-18)$ (12-18)Categorical variables% %% %% %% %% %% %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%Sociodemographic variablesGender (female)65.8662.220.512(141)(31.850.276(141)(4324)(110)Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum68.867.40.848wages)(258)(91)Behavioural variablesAlcohol consumption (yes)30.6637.030.211(113)Somoking (yes)30.66<	Symptoms of anxiety	4	6	0.010		
Symptoms of depression 6 8 0.032 $(4-8)$ $(6-10)$ Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 $(12-14)$ $(12-18)$ Categorical variables Categorical variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Colodemographic variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (114) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) 2020 (113) Behavioural variables Alcohol consumption (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 202 (113) 202 Variables of health conditions Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.02		(4 - 4)	(4 - 8)			
(4-8) $(6-10)$ Symptoms of stress14140.044 $(12-14)$ $(12-18)$ Categorical variables%%% <td>Symptoms of depression</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td>0.032</td>	Symptoms of depression	6	8	0.032		
Symptoms of stress 14 14 0.044 $(12 - 14)$ $(12 - 18)$ Categorical variables % % (Number of Cases) (Number of Cases) Sociodemographic variables (247) (84) Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (43) (110) (143) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (258) (91) Behavioural variables (258) (91) (258) (91) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (136) (19) Variables of health conditions (292) (113) (0.202) (36) (19) (110) (115) (50) Variables of health conditions (115) (50) (211) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66		(4 - 8)	(6 - 10)			
$(12 - 14)$ $(12 - 18)$ Categorical variables (Number of Cases) Sociodemographic variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 (36) (19) Variables of health conditions (292) (113) Wath perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) (115) (50) (211 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (1135) (66)	Symptoms of stress	14	14	0.044		
% % (Number of Cases) (Number of Cases) Sociodemographic variables (Second Cases) Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 (247) (84) (Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) (113) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (114) (119) Variables of health conditions (90) (47) (211) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) (115) (50)		(12 - 14)	(12 - 18)			
	Categorical variables					
Number of Cases) (Number of Cases) Sociodemographic variables (247) (84) Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 (292) (113) (113) 0.202 Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 0.211 0.90 (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) 0.202 0.115 <td></td> <td>%</td> <td>%</td> <td></td>		%	%			
Sociodemographic variables Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 (247) (84) Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (114) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) 0 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) 9 Behavioural variables (292) (113) 0 Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 0 0 0 Variables of health conditions 14.07 0.202 0 0 0 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	~	(Number of Cases)	(Number of Cases)			
Gender (female) 65.86 62.22 0.512 (247) (84) Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (43) (10) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (10) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) 91 Behavioural variables (292) (113) 0.202 Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 0 0 Variables of health conditions 14.07 0.202 Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) 0 0.211 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) 1150 1150 Health problem (yes) 36	Sociodemographic variables					
(247) (84) Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (31) (141) (43) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) (113) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (130) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (47) (292) (113) Variables of health conditions (90) (47) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (135) (66) (135) (66)	Gender (female)	65.86	62.22	0.512		
Schooling (\leq 15 years of schooling) 30.4 22.96 0.125 (114) (31) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (43) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (\leq 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) 91 Behavioural variables (292) (113) 0.202 Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 0.020 (36) (19) Variables of health conditions Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66) 0.011 (135) 0.011		(247)	(84)			
(114) (31) Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (\leq 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) (91) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (19) (19) Variables of health conditions 1 (47) 0.201 Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) (211) (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66) (61) (114) (135)	Schooling (≤ 15 years of schooling)	30.4	22.96	0.125		
Marital status (married/stable union) 37.6 31.85 0.276 (141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.64 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) (91) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (19) (113) Variables of health conditions 90) (47) 0.202 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) (135) (66)	NE 1.1.7.7. 1 /7.11	(114)	(31)	0.074		
(141) (43) Family arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 (292) (113) (292) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) V V Variables of health conditions (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) (135) (66)	Marital status (married/stable union)	37.6	31.85	0.276		
Paintly arrangement (lives with others) 86.4 81.48 0.216 (324) (110) (110) (110) Monthly family income (≤ 3 minimum 68.8 67.4 0.848 wages) (258) (91) (91) Behavioural variables (292) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (19) (47) Variables of health conditions 90) (47) 0.211 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66) (61) (112)		(141)	(43)	0.016		
(324) (110) Monthly family income (\leq 3 minimum (824) (110) wages) (258) (91) Behavioural variables (258) (91) Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 (292) (113) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (19) Variables of health conditions 14.07 0.202 Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) (47) 0.211 Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) 14.07 0.202 (135) (66) 14.07 0.202	Family arrangement (lives with others)	80.4	81.48	0.216		
Moniny family family family family family for the (\leq 5 mininum	Monthly family income (< 2 minimum	(324)	(110)	0 0 1 0		
Wagss) (250) (11) Behavioural variables 77.86 83.7 0.188 Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) 77.86 77.86 77.86 Variables of health conditions 77.86 77.86 77.86 77.86 77.86 Variables of health conditions 77.86 77.97 77.86 77.97 77.86 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97 77.97	wonung ranning income (≥ 3 infinitum wages)	(258)	(91)	0.848		
Alcohol consumption (yes) 77.86 83.7 0.188 (292) (113) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) (19) Variables of health conditions Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) (135) (66)	wages) Rehevioural variables	(236)	(91)			
Alcohor constription (yes) 77.80 83.7 0.188 (292) (113) Smoking (yes) 9.6 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) Variables of health conditions (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011	Alashal consumption (yes)	77.86	82.7	0.188		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Alcohol consumption (yes)	(292)	(113)	0.100		
Sinoring (yes) 7.0 14.07 0.202 (36) (19) Variables of health conditions Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	Smoking (yes)	9.6	14.07	0.202		
Variables of health conditions (30) (31) Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 (90) (47) (47) (115) (50) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	Shloking (yes)	(36)	(19)	0.202		
Health perception (poor) 24 34.81 0.020 Health perception (poor) (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	Variables of health conditions	(50)	(1)			
Health problem (yes) 24 54.51 6.626 (90) (47) Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	Health perception (poor)	24	34.81	0.020		
Use of medicines (yes) 30.66 37.03 0.211 (115) (50) Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	freatur perception (poor)	(90)	(47)	0.020		
Bits Bits <th< td=""><td>Use of medicines (yes)</td><td>30.66</td><td>37.03</td><td>0.211</td></th<>	Use of medicines (yes)	30.66	37.03	0.211		
Health problem (yes) 36 48.88 0.011 (135) (66)	ese et medienies (Jes)	(115)	(50)	0.211		
(135) (66)	Health problem (yes)	36	48.88	0.011		
	F0-044 () 00)	(135)	(66)			

Table 1. General characteristic of the sample, Brazil, 2020 (n = 510).

BMI - body mass index; CI – confidence interval; PA - physical activity; SB - sedentary behavior; 1 minimum wage = R\$ 1039.00.

Table 2 represents the association between sociodemographic, behavioural and health conditions variables with the high time of exposure to SB. In model 1 (each variable was adjusted for age), it was possible to observe that being insufficiently active (OR 1.96; 95% CI: 1.31 - 2.93; p = 0.001), having poor health perception (OR 1.67; 95% CI: 1.09 - 2.57; p = 0.017) and having a health problem (OR 1.79; 95% CI: 1.19 - 2.68; p = 0.004) were associated with a high time in SB. However, for model 2 (all variables were included in the model), only insufficient LPA (OR 1.78; 95% CI: 1.15 - 2.75; p = 0.008) and health problem (OR 1.83; 95% CI: 1.10 - 3.05; p = 0.018) remained associated with high time in SB. In addition, it was observed that those aged 39 years or older (OR 0.55; 95% CI: 0.31 - 0.97; p = 0.040) and having 15 years or less of studies (OR 0.59; 95% CI: 0.36 - 0.98; p = 0.045) demonstrated a protective effect for high levels of SB.

		Model 1			Model 2	
	OR	CI 95%	p-value	OR	CI 95%	p-value
Sociodemographic variables						
Age group						
< 39 years old		1			1	
\geq 39 years old	0.66	0.40 - 1.08	0.099	0.55	0.31 - 0.97	0.040
Gender						
Female		1			1	
Male	1.17	0.78 - 1.77	0.435	1.38	0.88 - 2.17	0.157
Schooling						
> 15 years of study	0.62	1	0.054		1	0 0 1 -
\leq 15 years of study	0.63	0.39 - 1.00	0.054	0.59	0.36 - 0.98	0.045
Single/diverged/compreted/widewed		1			1	
Married/stable union	0.85	0.55 1.22	0.501	0.02	1	0.765
Family arrangement	0.85	0.55 - 1.55	0.301	0.92	0.55 - 1.55	0.705
Lives with others		1			1	
Lives alone	1 40	0.83 - 2.38	0.202	1 15	0.64 - 2.07	0.632
Monthly family income	1.10	0.05 2.50	0.202	1.15	0.01 2.07	0.052
< 3 minimum wages		1			1	
> 3 minimum wages	1.16	0.75 - 1.79	0.492	1.21	0.75 - 1.94	0.432
Behavioural variables						
LPA						
\geq 150 minutes/week		1			1	
< 150 minutes/week	1.96	1.31 - 2.93	0.001	1.78	1.15 - 2.75	0.008
Alcohol consumption						
No		1			1	
Yes	0.68	0.40 - 1.14	0.147	0.7	0.40 - 1.20	0.198
Smoking						
No	1.40	1	0.107	1.24	1	0.240
Yes	1.49	0.82 - 2.71	0.18/	1.34	0.72 - 2.51	0.349
BMI						
Non-obese		1			1	
Obese	1.24	0.69 - 2.23	0.456	1	0.53 - 1.87	0.987
Symptoms of anxiety						
Minimum		1			1	
Moderate to severe	1.33	0.89 - 1.98	0.159	1.11	0.62 - 1.98	0.712
Symptoms of depression						
Minimum		1			1	
Moderate to severe	1.39	0.93 - 2.08	0.101	1.24	0.72 - 2.14	0.419
Symptoms of stress						
Minimum	1.04	1	0.070	0.07	1	0 (01
Moderate to severe	1.24	0.83 - 1.85	0.279	0.86	0.48 - 1.54	0.621
Use of medicines		1			1	
N0 Vas	1 27	1	0 127	0.04	1	0.822
Health perception	1.57	0.90 - 2.07	0.137	0.94	0.55 - 1.59	0.855
Good		1			1	
Poor	1.67	1.09 - 2.57	0.017	1.33	0.80 - 2.21	0.256
Health problem						
No		1			1	
Yes	1.79	1.19 - 2.68	0.004	1.83	1.10 - 3.05	0.018

Table 2. Association between sociodemographic, behavioral and health conditions variables with high sedentary behavior in adults, Brazil, 2020 (n = 510).

Yes1.791.19 - 2.680.0041.831.10 - 3.050.018BMI - body mass index; CI - confidence interval; LPA - level of physical activity; OR - odds ratio; SB - sedentary behavior. Model 1 - each variable was adjusted for age, except age. Model 2 - all variables were inserted simultaneously into the model. 1 minimum wage = R\$ 1039.00

DISCUSSION

This study evidenced the behavioural, sociodemographic and health conditions that were associated with SB in Brazilian adults. We found that insufficient levels of PA, poor health perception and health problems were associated with high time in SB. In addition, being 39 years of age or older or having low schooling presented as a protective factor for high SB.

Regarding the variables related to lifestyle, only PA was associated with SB. In the age-adjusted analysis, it was possible to verify that insufficiently active individuals had a 1.96 times greater chance of presenting high SB when compared to sufficiently active individuals. When verifying the association between LPA and SB adjusted for all variables of the model, it was found that the chance of presenting high SB was 1.78 times higher for insufficiently active individuals.

Corroborating these findings, a study that analysed Brazilian adults through data from the Surveillance of Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases by Telephone Survey identified that the practice of PA was a protective factor for SB (Trindade & Sarti, 2021). During the 24 hours of the day, an adult individual can perform different types of activities that involve sleep time, the practice of PA at different intensities, and also the time spent at SB. Therefore, considering that there is no change in sleep time, by reducing the practice of PA, consequently there will be an increase in time in SB. Moreover, the emergency measures imposed by COVID-19 resulted in the closure of public spaces for PA and led people to stay longer at home, performing sedentary activities.

Regarding health conditions, it was possible to notice that the perception of poor health and health problems were associated with high SB. It is well established in the literature that excess SB causes health damage (Bell et al., 2023; Park et al., 2020). This is because prolonged time directed to sedentary activities is configured as a stressing mechanism that decreases muscle action and increases insulin resistance, resulting in increased body fat (Charansonney, 2011). Therefore, the stressor response generated by SB can increase risk factors for the development of chronic diseases, directly influencing the health of individuals.

When analysing the sociodemographic variables, it was possible to verify that being aged 39 years or older or having low schooling presented as a protective factor for high SB. From a study that analysed 8,471 individuals, it was possible to notice that younger adults with higher schooling had higher total SB (Bakker et al., 2020). Similarly, a study involving 200 adults aged between 20 and 59 years identified that people with higher education had longer time in SB and screen time (Martins et al., 2020). It is common to observe that younger adults with higher schooling direct an expressive period of the day performing work activities that require less physical effort and greater intellectual expenditure, especially in order to achieve professional stability. Therefore, the time available for the practice of PA becomes compromised, yielding space for the development of activities that involve lower energy expenditure.

On the other hand, in this study, no statistically significant associations were observed between alcohol consumption and smoking with SB, which differs from the literature (Trindade & Sarti, 2021). In addition, the variables obesity, medication consumption, symptoms of anxiety, depression or stress, gender, income, marital status and family arrangement were not associated with high SB. It is important to highlight that the absence of association between these variables could be explained by the specific characteristics of the sample investigated and also by the sociocultural aspects inherent to individuals living in different regions of the country.

Despite the important contribution of this study in the epidemiological context, regarding the understanding of the factors that contributed to the increase in time in sedentary behavior in Brazilian adults during the COVID-19 pandemic, some limitations need to be highlighted. The design of this investigation does not allow establishing a causal relationship between the variables. Moreover, although the study analysed people living in several states of the country, it was not possible to evidence a representative sample, by region, which makes it difficult to generalize the findings for the entire Brazilian population.

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted an atypical period, regarding Public Health, since the restrictions imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic had a severe impact on people's lives, especially in the increase of time in SB. The findings of this investigation allowed the understanding of the main aspects that were related to SB.

It was evidenced that insufficiently active individuals with poor health perception and who had a health problem were more likely to have a high time in SB. In addition, being 39 years of age or older or having low schooling were observed as a protective factor for the high time spent in sedentary activities.

In view of these findings, it is necessary to encourage people to remain physically active and reduce the time in SB, which would allow the reduction of health problems and, consequently, the burden that these behaviours cause to public coffers. In addition, the importance of further studies in contexts like the COVID-19 pandemic is highlighted. This could help to understand the factors that relate to SB in order to design strategies that reduce the adverse impact of this problem in future situations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Research Productivity Scholarship Program (N $^{\circ}$ 06/2021) of the State University of Minas Gerais.

REFERENCES BIBLIOGRAPHIC

Abranson, J. H. (2004). WINPEPI (PEPI for Windows) computer program for epidemiologists. Epidemiologic Perspectives & Innovations, 1(6). https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-5573-1-6

Armstrong, T., Bauman, A. E., & Davies, J. (2000). Physical activity patterns of australian adults: Results of the 1999 national physical activity survey. Canberra:

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.

https://www.aihw.gov.au/getmedia/3efdc7d6-8ce8-4157-b7c9-b7eb72eee107/papaa.pdf.aspx?inline=true

- Bakker, E. A., Hopman, M. T. E., Lee, D. C., Verbeek, A. L. M., Thijssen, D. H. J., & Eijsvogels, T. M. H. (2020). Correlates of total and domain-specific sedentary behavior: a cross-sectional study in Dutch adults. BMC Public Health, 20(220). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8316-6
- Bell, A. C., Richards, J., Zakrzewski-Fruer, J. K., Smith, L. R., & Bailey, D. P. (2023). Sedentary behaviour—a target for the prevention and management of cardiovascular disease. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(1), 532. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010532
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Conselho Nacional de Saúde. (2012). Resolução № 466, de 12 de dezembro de 2012. https://conselho.saude.gov.br/resolucoes/2012/Reso466.pdf
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção Primária à Saúde. Departamento de Promoção da Saúde. (2021). Guia de Atividade Física para a População Brasileira, Brasília: Ministério da Saúde. https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/guia_atividade_fisica_populacao_brasileira.pdf
- Charansonney, O. L. (2011). Physical activity and aging: a life-long story. Discovery Medicine, 12(64), 177-185. https://www.discoverymedicine.com/Olivier-L-Charansonney/2011/09/09/physical-activity-and-aging-a-life-long-story/
- Condessa, I., Anastácio, Z. C., & Antão, C. (2022). Imagem corporal, autoestima e adesao à atividade física: um estudo com jovens em período Covid-19. Revista INFAD de Psicología, International Journal of Developmental and Educational Psychology, 2(1), 71-78. https://doi.org/10.17060/ijodaep.2022.n1.v2.2318
- Condessa, I., Anastácio, Z. C., & Antão, C. (2021). Motivações para ser (in)ativo em período pandémico: um estudo exploratório com adolescentes e jovens adult@s. Revista INFAD de Psicología, International Journal of Developmental and Educational Psychology, 2(2), 459–466. https://doi.org/10.17060/ijodaep.2021.n2.v2.2254

- Martins, H. X., Camargo, H. X. A. A., Siqueira, J. H., Martínez, O. G. E., Aprelini, C. M. O., Pereira, T. S. S., ... & Molina, M. C. B. (2020). Actividad física, comportamento sedentario y factores asociados en adultos brasileños. Avances en Enfermería. 38(3), 358-356. https://doi.org/10.15446/av.enferm.v38n3.85874
- Matsudo, S., Araújo, A., Matsudo, V., Andrade, D., Andrade, E., Oliveira, L. C., ... & Braggion, G. (2001). Questionário Internacional de Atividade Física (IPAQ): estudo de validade e reprodutibilidade no Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Atividade Física & Saúde, 6(2):5-18. https://rbafs.org.br/RBAFS/article/view/931
- Park, J. H., Moon, J. H., Kim, H. J., Kong, M. H., & Oh, Y. H. (2020). Sedentary lifestyle: overview of updated evidence of potential health risks. Korean Journal of Family Medicine, 41(6), 365-373. https://doi.org/10.4082/kjfm.20.0165
- Salari, N., Hosseinian-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M. ... & Khaledi-Paveh, B. (2020). Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Globalization and Health, 16(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w
- Schäfer, A. A., Santos, L. P., Quadra, M. R., Dumith, S. C., & Meller, F. O. (2022). Alcohol consumption and smoking during covid-19 pandemic: Association with sociodemographic, behavioral, and mental health characteristics. Journal of Community Health, 47(4), 588-597. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-022-01085-5
- Semanik, P. A., Lee, J., Song, J., Chang, R. W., Sohn, M. W., Ehrlich-Jones, L. S., ... & Dunlop, D. D. (2015). Accelerometer-monitored sedentary behavior and observed physical function loss. American Journal of Public Health, 105(3), 560-566. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302270
- Stanton, R., To, Q. G., Khalesi, S., Williams, S. L., Alley, S. J., Thwaite, T. L., ... & Vandelanotte, C. (2020). Depression, anxiety and stress during COVID-19: Associations with changes in physical activity, sleep, tobacco and alcohol use in australian adults. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(11), 4065. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17114065
- Trindade, L. A. I. & Sarti, F. M. (2021). Trends in sociodemographic and lifestyle factors associated with sedentary behavior among Brazilian adults. Revista Brasileira de Epidemiologia, 24(suppl 1), e210014. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33886887/
- Vignola, R. & Tucci, A. (2014). Adaptation and validation of the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) to Brazilian Portuguese. Journal of Affective Disorders, 155, 104-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.10.031
- World Health Organization. (2020). Guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015128
- World Health Organization. (2000). Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42330
- Zieff, G., Bates, L. C., Kerr, Z. Y., Moore, J. B., Hanson, E. D., Battaglini, C., & Stoner, L. (2021). Targeting sedentary behavior as a feasible health strategy during COVID-19. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 11(3), 826-831. https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibaa101

CONFLICTO DE INTERESES

Los autores declaran no tener ningún conflicto de intereses y que han participado activamente por ambas partes en la elaboración del manuscrito.